OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Sub: Back to back tie up by PSUs- instructions regarding

It has been observed during intensive examination of various works/contracts awarded by construction PSUs on back to back basis that the works are being awarded in an ad-hoc and arbitrary manner without inviting tenders and ascertaining the performance, capability and experience of the tenderers. In some cases, the works were awarded on single tender basis/limited tender basis though sufficient time was available with the Organisation to invite open tenders.

2. Some of the common irregularities/lapses observed during the examination of works were as under:

   a) No transparency in selection of contractor for the back to back tie up which is the main source of corruption.

   b) Collusion among the contractors was observed where more than one contractors were involved at various stages.

   c) Ineligible contractor obtains the contract through the PSUs.

   d) Purchase preference misused by the PSUs.

   e) PSUs sublet the complete work to a private contractor without obtaining permission from the client which invariably put a condition insisting such permission since the client is generally not interested in such back to back sublet of the work.

   f) Infructuous work (to the exchequer) due to the involvement of intermediary PSUs and cost of project goes up ultimately.

   g) No supervision by the PSU as they put the staff mainly for coordination work.

   h) Quality ultimately suffers due to lack of supervision by the PSUs.
3. Commission is of the view that the practice of award of works to PSUs on nomination basis by Govt. of India/PSUs needs to be reviewed forthwith.

4. The irregularities observed during intensive examination of work and difficulties being faced by the PSUs in inviting tenders were considered and it has been decided that the procedure to be followed for award of work by Construction PSUs shall be finalised taking into account the following points:
   a) PSUs (when bag the contract from the client Department) as a contractor, has to execute the work by functioning like a contractor instead of sub-letting the 100% work on back to back basis.
   b) Open tenders to be invited for selection of sub-contractors as far as possible
   c) In case, it is not possible to invite open tenders, selection should be carried out by inviting limited tenders from the panel approved in the following manner. Panel of contractors are to be prepared for different categories, monetary limits, regions, in a transparent manner clearly publishing the eligibility criteria etc. The above panel is to be updated every year.
   d) Tenders to be opened confidentially by a high level committee to maintain the secrecy of rates, if required. Tender opening register should be maintained in this regard duly signed by the officers opening the tender and kept confidentially. This should be available for perusal when required by audit/vigilance.
   e) The terms and conditions of the contract of the client especially those pertaining to subletting of works should be strictly adhered to by the PSUs.
   f) Adequate staff to be deployed by the PSUs to ensure quality in construction etc.
   g) The record of enlistment/updation of contractor and tender opening register shall be produced to the CTEO as well as audit officials when demanded for scrutiny.

5. It is, therefore, suggested that the procedure for award of work on back to back basis be finalised keeping in view the above points and circulated amongst the concerned officials of your organisation for strict compliance in future works.

   Sd/-
   (R.A. Arumugam)
   Chief Technical Examiner

To
All CVOs of Ministries/Departments/PSUs etc.