Office Order No.70/11/04

To

All Chief Vigilance Officers

Subject: Appointment of retired officers as Inquiring Authority.

The Commission vide its Office Order No. 34/7/2003 dated 1.8.2003 had directed for suitable amendment in the provisions for appointment of retired officers as Inquiring Authorities by PSEs.

2. In recent case (Ravi Malik Vs. National Film Development Corporation Ltd.-Civil Appeal No. 4481 of 2004), the Supreme Court in their judgement delivered on 23.7.2004 have inter-alia held that “the words ‘public servants’ used in Rule 23 (b) of the NFDC Service Rules and Regulations, 1982 mean exactly what they say, namely, that the person appointed as an Inquiring Officer must be a servant of the public and not a person who was a servant of the public. Therefore, a retired officer would not come within the definition of ‘public servant’ for the purpose of Rule 23(b)”.

3. Rule 14(2) of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 provides that “Whenever the Disciplinary Authority is of the opinion that there are grounds for inquiring into the truth of any imputation of misconduct or misbehaviour against a Government Servant, it may itself inquire into, or appoint under this rule or under the provisions of the Public Servants (Inquiries) Act, 1850, as the case may be, an authority to inquire into the truth thereof”.

4. CVOs of organisations (other than those, which follow CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965) may review the service rules and regulations of their organisations and take necessary measures to amend the provisions relating to appointment of Inquiring Authorities, if they are inconsistent with the provisions under Rule 14(2) of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965. If any Service/Departmental Rules are in conflict with appointment of retired persons as Inquiring Authorities, they should be suitably amended before any such appointments are made.

Sd/-
(Anjana Dube)
Deputy Secretary